

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/045,651	GANOT ET AL.
Examiner	Art Unit	
Christopher L. Lavin	2624	

All Participants:

(1) Christopher L. Lavin.

Status of Application: _____

(2) Michael Faibisch (Reg. 46,427).

(3) Kelly Hyndman (Reg. 39,234).

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 25 September 2006

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

Claims 16 and 17

Prior art documents discussed:

Kosmowski (5,529,441)

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

The examiner suggested that if the applicant's could overcome Kosmowski the claims would most likely be allowable. The applicant's agreed to submit a supplemental amendment.

Part III.

- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)